

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001			3. EFFECTIVE DATE 29-Jun-2004		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W81GYE4147-9001
6. ISSUED BY SDDC CONTRACTING CENTER SDAQ-T TERMINAL & TRANS RELATED SVCS DIV 200 STOVALL ST, RM 12S33 ALEXANDRIA VA 22332-5000			CODE W81GYE	5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) W81GYE	
7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) SDDC CONTRACTING CENTER ALAN KARTCHNER 703-428-2495 KARTCHNERA@SDDC.ARMY.MIL ALEXANDRIA VA			CODE	W81GYE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W81GYE-04-T-0052	
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 21-Jun-2004	
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE			FACILITY CODE		
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended.					
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) This Amendment is issued to add evaluation factors to the solicitation.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) TOYE LATIMORE / CONTRACTING OFFICER TEL: 703-428-2067 EMAIL: LatimoreT@sddc.army.mil		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 29-Jun-2004

EXCEPTION TO SF 30
APPROVED BY OIRM 11-84

30-105-04

STANDARD FORM 30 (Rev. 10-83)
Prescribed by GSA
FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - CONTINUATION SHEET

The following have been added by full text:

EVALUATION FACTORS

Evaluation Factors and Subfactors

Factor 1: Past Performance. The Offeror shall provide demonstrated Ocean booking experience in work effort similar in size and scope relevant to the requirement. The Offeror shall provide a brief description of similar work effort from projects that are relevant to the tasks outlined in the Statement of Work. Description should demonstrate comprehensive technical approach in preparation, facilitating, conducting and documenting ocean cargo execution application services.

Factor 2: Technical Approach

Demonstrates comprehensive understanding of Ocean booking process and transportation process for Department of Defense Automated Information Systems. Demonstrate comprehensive technical and innovative approach in software development, requirements definitions, implementation, and user training.

Factor 3: Management Approach

Demonstrate a comprehensive management approach in risk management, software development, and training of ocean cargo booking execution application services.

Factor 4: Cost/Price. N/A

Selection of the successful Offeror will be made based upon the evaluation criteria stated above.

Basis for Contract Award and Relative Importance of Factors and Subfactors.

Relative Importance of Factors.

Past Performance is the most important factor in the overall evaluation. The Technical Approach is more important than Management Approach but less important than Past Performance. The Cost/Price is important but is less important overall, from Past Performance, Technical Approach, and Management Approach.

Past Performance is the most important, and all other non-priced factors combined are more important than price.

Evaluation Criteria.

The criteria to be used to evaluate the written technical approach and cost proposal as part of the Fair Opportunity competitive process is:

Adjectives:

EXCELLENT. Proposed offer is excellent in all respects and demonstrates excellent understanding of requirements. Offers one or more significant advantage/enhancement that has been selected as advantageous to the Government. Offeror has a very good probability of success in completing the contract. In order to receive and overall rating of “excellent,” the factor designated, as the most important must be rates as “excellent.” Additionally, all remaining non--priced factors must receive a rating of at least “good” (low risk for past performance) with no deficiencies of weaknesses for any factor.

GOOD. Proposed offer presents high quality in most areas and demonstrates good understanding of the requirements. Offeror has a good probability of success in completing the contract. In order to receive and overall rating of “good,” the factor designated, as the most important must be rated as “good” (moderate to low risk for past performance) with no more than two correctable weaknesses for any non-priced factor and no deficiencies.

SATISFACTORY. Proposed offer presents adequate quality and demonstrates acceptable understanding of the government’s requirements. Offeror has a moderate probability of success in completing the contract. In order to receive and overall rating of “satisfactory,” the factor designated, as the most important must be rated as “good” (moderate risk for past performance) the factor designated as the most important must be rated “satisfactory” with no more than four weaknesses and no deficiencies for any non-priced factor.

UNACCEPTABLE. Proposed offer contains major errors, omissions and deficiencies and does not demonstrate an acceptable understanding of the government’s requirements. Offeror has a high probability of failure to complete the contract. The proposal cannot meet the requirements of the solicitation without major rewrite of revisions for any non-priced factors. Past performance risk is high.

(End of Summary of Changes)